

Commission and are available for public inspection.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97-25565 Filed 9-25-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. ER97-3553-000 and ER97-3556-000]

Rochester Gas & Electric Corporation and ROXDEL; Notice of Issuance of Order

September 23, 1997.

ROXDEL (ROXDEL), a power marketer, is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Rochester Gas & Electric Company. ROXDEL filed an application for authorization to sell power at market-based rates, and for certain waivers and authorizations. In particular, ROXDEL requested that the Commission grant blanket approval under 18 CFR Part 34 of all future issuances of securities and assumptions of liabilities by ROXDEL. On September 12, 1997, the Commission issued an Order Accepting For Filing Proposed Market-Based Rates (Order), in the above-docketed proceeding.

The Commission's September 12, 1997 Order granted the request for blanket approval under Part 34, subject to the conditions found in Ordering Paragraphs (D), (E), and (G):

(D) Within 30 days of the date of issuance of this order, any person desiring to be heard or to protest the Commission's blanket approval of issuances of securities or assumptions of liabilities by ROXDEL should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214.

(E) Absent a request to be heard within the period set forth in Ordering Paragraph (D) above, ROXDEL is hereby authorized to issue securities and assume obligations and liabilities as guarantor, endorser, surety, or otherwise in respect of any security of another person; provided that such issue or assumption is for some lawful object within the corporate purposes of ROXDEL, compatible with the public interest, and reasonably necessary or appropriate for such purposes.

(G) The Commission reserves the right to modify this order to require a further

showing that neither public nor private interests will be adversely affected by continued Commission approval of ROXDEL's issuances of securities or assumptions of liabilities.

Notice is hereby given that the deadline for filing motions to intervene or protests, as set forth above, is October 14, 1997.

Copies of the full text of the Order are available from the Commission's Public Reference Branch, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97-25615 Filed 9-25-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. OA96-116-001]

Tampa Electric Company; Notice of Filing

September 22, 1997.

Take notice that on August 15, 1997, Tampa Electric Company (Tampa Electric), submitted a filing in compliance with the Commission's Order On Compliance Tariff Rates and Generic Clarification of Implementation Procedures, issued in Allegheny Power Systems, Inc., Docket No. OA96-18-000, *et al.*, on July 31, 1997.

The compliance filing includes revised tariff sheets for Tampa Electric's open access transmission tariff under order No. 888, an index of transmission customers under Tampa Electric's prior and existing transmission tariffs, and a form of service agreement between Tampa Electric as transmission provider and Tampa Electric in its wholesale merchant function.

Copies of the compliance filing have been served on the persons designated on the official service list in Docket No. OA96-116-000, all of the customers under Tampa Electric's open access tariff, and the Florida Public Service Commission.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before September 30, 1997. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make

protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97-25560 Filed 9-25-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP97-749-000]

Texas Gas Transmission Corporation; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

September 22, 1997.

Take notice that on September 11, 1997, Texas Gas Transmission Corporation (Texas Gas), Post Office Box 20008, Owensboro, Kentucky 42304, filed in Docket No. CP97-749-000 a request pursuant to §§ 157.205, 157.212, and 157.216 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.212, and 157.216) for authorization to replace, relocate, and consolidate three existing delivery points in Hart County, Kentucky. Texas Gas avers that such proposal would upgrade the existing measurement facilities, and relocate the meters to a site which is more convenient for the operation and maintenance of the station. Texas Gas makes such request under its blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-407-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Specifically, Texas Gas proposes to replace, relocate and consolidate its existing Rowletts, Woodsonville and Munfordville delivery points which are used to serve Western Kentucky Gas Company (WKG), a local distribution company. Texas Gas then proposes to replace those three delivery points with a new consolidated delivery point that will be known as the River Oaks delivery meter station. Texas Gas states that since this proposal is merely a relocation and consolidation of existing meter stations into one new station, service to the customers of WKG will not be affected by this abandonment. It is further stated that since no increase in contract quantity has been requested by WKG, this proposal will not have a significant effect on Texas Gas' peak day and annual deliveries.